

Alice in Blunderland

Saying it as it really is!

*By Sophia Siedlberg, Spokeswoman
Organisation Intersex International-UK*

Consider the following scenario. A child is sent to an institution where they are physically mutilated in the pelvic region. They are then brutalized into identifying with that mutilation: a sort of Stockholm situation where the child is brutalized so much that according to the theory of the abuser they grow to “accept” and “love” the mutilation. Then consider as they get to their teens they are pumped up with drugs that have the primary effect of causing the child who is now a teenager to suffer episodes of psychotic rage. But the abuser keeps saying that this is a privilege. By now most sane people would consider that to be child abuse. They would probably assume the abuser is some criminal who should be locked up

I know that given this article is appearing on an intersex support site that I am drawing some parallel between this sort of child abuse and the treatment an intersex child goes through. Well no, I am not. I am going to point out that when it comes to the innocent term on the “DSD Guidelines” which reads “raise as male” the above is exactly what happens.

This is actually the reason why the Intersex Society of North America kept quiet about it. As do many s in the field of surgery and in particular one “Ethics Scholar” called Dr Alice Dreger. You see to them the above is a “Male Privilege” (Well the sexual abuser always says the treatment they dish out to their victim is “good for the victim”). Like when Cheryl Chase was negotiating with the doctors about stopping surgery the doctors were known to say “Don’t oppose masculinizing surgery”.

Of course the response to this will be: “Oh, you are smearing the good name of these people.” Well no. I was subjected to this treatment myself at the hands of the medical profession. In fact I recently received some compensation, having proven that I was brutalized for these “therapeutic” reasons between 1975 and 1981. And this did involve all the above except the surgery which took place earlier in 1965.

In the past some in the medical profession would put Thomas Harris to shame when it came imagining ever more revolting way to conduct male enforcement brutality. Today we are told, “It’s not like that”. Oh really?

Perhaps we could have an explanation as to why ISNA for a period of 8 years categorically denied that surgical masculinization ever took place. If anyone ever said this is what happened to them, (regardless of what sex they ended up identifying as) the standard response from ISNA was to call them “liars” and “transsexuals trying to gain legitimacy”. Or perhaps we could have an explanation as to why the statement “It is harder to make a hole than a pole” was so often quoted

along with “If when they use the phalo-o-meter test, you have enough tissue, you are lucky you get to keep it”. Of course they forgot to mention just what being “lucky and keeping it” actually meant: the almost permanent kidney infections arising from the constant catheterization that went on or how about those painful little “adjustments” and “enhancements” that could result in bits of flesh being removed from other parts of the body. Then there is the little issue of the atrophy, that is when the “penis” starts to rot and we cannot forget the accumulating scar tissue in the bladder. That is a classic often resulting in kidney reflux. However, according to ISNA and later people like Dr. Dreger, “This never happens”.

But no, this is never mentioned and yes there are those of us who have experienced things like this asking the obvious question. “Why didn’t ISNA ever mention this?” Well, vested interests, that’s why. Perhaps when they were all friendly with the medical profession (as now) they wanted to avoid talking about practices that would get some medical professionals hauled through the courts for child abuse. Add to this the “radical feminist” lobby who seem to live under this delusion that even a 5 year old boy having a bullet put through his brain in some place like Srebrenica is enjoying a “male privilege”. Why bother mincing words and being polite anymore? I do not know what ISNA were all about but in terms of representing intersex people I just have my doubts. But then there is Alice Dreger, who along with another “ISNA expert” Professor Eric Vilain dreamed up this “Disorders of Sexual differentiation”.

Now it comes as no surprise whatsoever that during this process, even of drawing up a “Parents manual” of “DSD” they

did not once address this real issue. Of what is basically child abuse. Why? Well it never was discussed. And to make the point even more clearly we now find Dr Alice Dreger hobnobbing with that man of Thomas Harris Methodology himself, none other than Professor J Michael Bailey.

You will notice that all but one of the “treatments” that are involved with “correcting a birth defect” that these nice people propose are masculinizing. Apparently sadistics, sorry statistics show that most intersex people prefer to be male. What these statistics fail to mention would be the number of people who had any potential male identity ruined by being traumatized by male overcompensation and painful surgery. (Actually the male enforcement could have the opposite effect as that desired)

Oh and there is this little theory that Professor Bailey has all lined up for anyone assigned male and who rejects it. I mention it because the name of one part of this theoretical model is rather fascinating. “Autogynephilia”. He applied it to older male to female transsexual folks originally but he seems to want to apply it to male rejecting intersex folks as well.

Well, I think it would be fair to say that the sort of abuse I described at the top of the page would probably result in “Autandrophobia”. Well how about that then? Bailey proposes a term that would describe a mutually exclusive concept.

Now how about we ask an awkward question? How mentally damaged can some people be after having a childhood that was damaged by such treatment? Well let’s just say the doctors who

messed with my life as a child are lucky they died before I was old enough to take my revenge on them.

Twenty years ago it is probable I would have literally crucified them. (Let's just say it is a zealot thing). Thankfully that murderous hatred and utter rejection of the imposed masculine subsided enough for me to do something more constructive. Getting some justice over it helped as well, because my compensation claim was one claim closer to people being able to financially crucify these quacks.

I know that Bailey and Dreger have publicly humiliated one, it turns out intersex individual in the press and in their papers. And they sort of got away with it. But if they ever do that to a traumatized autandrophobe, Bailey and Dreger could end up dead.

It is not something I am going to discuss or negotiate as a "slur". I will say that there are some people they will inevitably play their male-imposition games with and they could perhaps have already been pushed beyond the limit and Bailey and Dreger will suffer the consequences. I mean Bailey and Dreger think the last three years was bad enough, with all that mauling a few people in public and then crying "Free speech". Well hey they do it to the wrong person (Which I believe is inevitable if they continue on this track) and they will have another Columbine or Virginia on their hands.

I am sort of giving Professor J Michael Bailey and Alice Dreger some friendly advice. They will inevitably mess someone up in the wrong way and that will be that. No

speeches about freedom of speech or the "professor's right to tell the truth". Bailey's research methods have always been sloppy to some extent, and that means he has missed what might happen (or will happen) if he does this "Man or else" routine to the wrong person.

This is not an attack on Bailey or Dreger but what I think is a "Mid Case Scenario". I firmly believe that the more they get into people's lives and the more policies they dream up, and the more people they affect, they will strike unlucky. I mean I will be honest. My feelings about Dreger and Bailey can border on pathological hatred. But I know how to keep that under control and get on with my life as best I can, taking the time to explain every now and then where I believe Bailey and Dreger are wrong. I think if I met either one of them I would be polite, reasonable and continue trying to explain where I think they are wrong.

But not everyone in my situation has overcome that intense anger. I think Bailey and Dreger, whether it is down to a weird sense of humor or some blind faith in some "truth". These two have gone beyond the pail and one day I know they will tread on a hornet.

I think the question Bailey and Dreger need to ask themselves is, if they have the misfortune to face such a situation and end up either disabled or dead, will they be seen as "the scientists who worked for a truth" or even "were able to fight political correctness", (I don't consider a murderous hatred towards any medical professional to be political correctness, just a consequence) or "The tireless doctors who worked for the

common good” or will they be seen as Victor Frankenstein having an experiment blow up in his face.

(Actually I recommend Bailey and Dreger read Mary Shelley).

So, are we going to continue to see all this silliness and watch the demise of these two when it does blow up in their faces? Or are Dreger and Bailey just going to wise up and realize that whatever “truths” they claim to speak for, invoke pathological fury in some people.

I know what I was capable of 20 years ago when a quack in a white coat said “Male”. That would have been a Pavlovian key word for “kill!” And I am saying this in all honesty. Like I say, it is helpful to be open. We are not dealing with transsexual people now. We are dealing with people who in some rare but existing cases were subjected to such extremes of abuse because of a accident of birth. Bailey and Dreger sound like nothing more than Man(M) and Woman(W) coming out with Pavlovian keywords. If you think I would have been psychotic 20 years ago, consider the psychological effects of androgens and 3-oxy steroids which are part of the male enforcer’s toolbox.

Not recommended.