

Sugar and Snails
II

The Killer Sperm



Having established that society simply has a sadistic streak when it comes to sex and “gender” where anyone who is born “not fitting in” would either be aborted or if already living, made to live a life of hell.

We have to confront the reasoning behind it. Well as I pointed out earlier there is this dogma about chromosomes. Let’s re visit this for a moment (and this time illustrate what the narrators actually mean when discussing this).

“When mummy and daddy make babies a child will inherit either an X or a Y chromosome from the father and wil always inherit an X chromosome from the mother. If a child inherits and XY chromosome from both paprents they are male when they inherit an XX chromosome they are a girl”

Meaning:

“When mummy and daddy obey the dictatorship and do as they are told and be good little breeders, the child is supposed to inherit either an X or a Y chromosome from the father, who must be man enough to pass on his genes in the first place. And if the mother is a real woman she will have the right to pass on her genes, and the child will inherit an X chromosome from her. If a child inherits an XY chromosome (We are so ignorant we cannot differentiate between plural and singular) they will be a boy, or else, unless they have CAIS and are born female we cannot “cure” that DSD yet, so we will just stigmatize them but otherwise they will be boys and we will brutalize them into that role and if they do not obey we will make them suffer. If the child inherits an XX chromosome (We are so ignorant we cannot differentiate between plural and singular) they will be made to live as girls and be brainwashed into being breeding stock, there will be no exceptions and if they happen to be infertile then tough we will act as if they are fertile and make them feel really bad about themselves.”

When you look at the narrative warts and all, you notice all the toxic claptrap that is going through the narrator’s mind. Like how the “XX Chromosome” and “XY chromosome” somehow determine everything from genital morphology to what brand of clothes and food people buy. You may notice the utterly ignorant use of the singular “Chromosome” when talking of pairs of chromosomes. It is partly correct because two chromosomes joined at the hip with centromers are in effect a single entity. However I see the singular term “Chromosome” as a marker of the narrator’s agenda. Because it tends to represent a sort of monolithic thinking, also this habit of oddly spelling some words can sound like it come from someone who knows what they are talking about. I call these words “Kellogisms” after John Harvey Kellogg, who in the late 19th century was notorious for such wording. Other examples would be “Biologic” and “Physiologic” and “Biopsychosocial constructic”. It is not just using “Fancy words” to sound clever, but using the mis-appropriated grammar to make a point.

The reality is that such semantic sophistry (I can do it as well) is in many respects an indicator of how the narrator seems to think. In the popular imagination the differentiation of sex is controlled by the chromosome carried by a given sperm cell when it gets into the egg of course this is not true, but there is a sort of sexist streak in all this. It is interesting to note that many years ago (When being born male conferred social advantages, allegedly) it was believed that the woman would somehow determine a child's sex. There were never any proofs of this other than the daft "theories" that involved a woman standing on her head while eating leeches and reciting some prayer in Latin. If she gave birth to a girl then she was somehow responsible. And this was a problem if she happened to be the wife of some member of the landed gentry or worse a king because it all involved the need to produce sons to inherit the titles and family silver.

When the class system changed and later feminism appeared, you could look closer, and notice that people discovered the "XY chromosome" and the "XX chromosome" all of a sudden. The concept was first described by Nattie Stevens and Edmund Beecher Wilson in 1905 what they basically said was that sex differentiation was apparently (Note "Apparently") a genetic affair and it was governed by whether or not sperm cell carried an X or a Y Chromosome. The reality is more complex than that, partly because Y does not always mean "Boy" and partly because when sperm cells go on their little exodus there are numerous factors provided by the woman that favor X or Y carrying sperm cells at different times during the month. But you will notice that in the change in social climate, the process of sex differentiation was exclusively attributed to the male. How convenient, now the guy cannot blame the woman if he does not get the son he wants. And the woman can blame the man if she does not get the daughter she prefers. There are of course insemination techniques that can be used to ensure that either an X or a Y sperm gets the egg after the cellular level rut and exodus.

But even there, we have no guarantee that the end result will be the intended sex. To put this logically male sex is determined by a number of factors, the genes on the Y chromosome are involved, no one is denying that. But in a polygenic model of how all this works, a lot of other genes have to "Agree", like there are "male specific genes" spread across the other chromosomes, they have to be working as well to ensure a male child.

If you find this sort of explanation in a narrative (such as mentioning CAIS where a region called Xq12 on the X chromosome does not confer the ability to use androgens) you will also find "faulty" and "defective" and even "deviant" appearing. Let's look at this a little closer and see it for what it is. We are living in a feminist age now, so as with the mutual abuse that goes on between men and women goes, the woman cannot be "blamed" in any way shape or form for the sex of the child, it is down to the man. But if someone is born with CAIS because of a gene or MSR (Male Specific Region) on the "female" X chromosome then the feminists will get their knickers in a twist and say that a woman with CAIS is "un-feminist" (She implies that her being female is caused by the woman, so the woman has to see this as a fault rather than an MSR being on a female chromosome).

If you take a closer look at any attempt at describing how sex differentiation works you will notice the sexist self interests of men and women at play, the science is somehow missing because scientific descriptions would involve a mechanism of sex differentiation that is not quite as simplistic as “XX = Girl and XY = boy”. Today (As men and women bicker and women blame men for sex differentiation) the current “Paradigm” (Model) of sex determination is down to the “Killer sperm”.

You are probably wondering what this has to do with “society” (the Clarke-northwestern clique) assigning intersex children to a sex that will “hopefully drive them to suicide”. Well let’s just look at the notion that “CAIS is un-feminist”. It was Germaine Greer who started that one when in her “book” called “The whole Woman”. She did not go into the detail about MSRs on the X chromosome, but then she wouldn’t would she. No all she did was come out with these comments about women with “46 XY chromosome pairings” being “Men parading as women”. Let’s face it Greer is either so ignorant she simply does not get it with genetics and sex, she had to resort to using her earlier “tranny bashing” narratives, or she is such a vindictive cow, she knew about the nuances of gender and sex, but would not discuss them because that would make biology itself appear “un-feminist”. She said: “A woman can only have XX chromosomes, XY simply will not do” Well yes, a stupid norm born who talks in terms of genetic purity is a genetic fatalist who does not even understand genetics, her bare faced lies simply will not do. Because she is either stupid and calling women with CAIS something they are not using comparisons with other groups of people, or hiding the facts about the genetics in other words she is lying.

Am I the only one with the “Balls” to say it. Well I have no “Balls” but I am saying it anyway, it must be those chromosomes. Yeah, right. Germaine Greer is a liar.

With 5 alpha, which is where I am affected by all this, it seems quite simple for the likes of Greer. And Bailey, and Eugenides. People like me are born looking like superfeminine babies, when we hit our teens we suddenly start wanting to use shaving foam and wearing men’s cloths. We fantasize about waking up every morning with a penis and then one morning we just wake up with a ten foot penis and find a wife to beat up. It is of course a complete and utter load of testicles. While some with 5 alpha do go from being raised as female to become male, and the “transition” from female to male is not all that difficult. This urban myth about turning from Shirley Temple to Arnold Schwarzenegger, well no it does not work quite like that. For example I was surgically assigned “male” as a child (which paradoxically ruined my chances of growing a penis, the plastic penis was a mass of scar tissue by the time I was five). I was raised as male and considered it to be little more violent than simply playing football with daddy in the park, more like having had both my legs broken with a cricket bat by my father because I dared to find a discarded doll in the back garden. When during my teens I turned against this “Masculinity” I was packed off to a “special school” and abused. (The only good thing to come from that was being paid compensation many years later which paid for the laptop I am typing this out on). And when I decided that the only option left was to be “female”, I was not going to buy into all those silly theories about having some “masculine fetish” nor did I do the “I am a woman trapped in a man’s body” routine either. Oh no I just wanted “masculinity”

to get the message plain and simple, I considered it a form of man made contamination, I regarded it in the same way I would regard cancer, and as female was the only choice available at the time that is the choice I took.

I am utterly unrepentant about it, and no it has not escaped my notice that in the media a lot of people who “turn their back on the masculine” are somehow seen as having to justify their reasons for doing so. Either by saying they felt they should be girls or they felt that G-d (or child abusing surgeons) had “made a little mistake”. Be they transsexual or male rejecting intersex, it makes no difference. I rejected it because it was little more than a manufactured violation in my case. I despise masculinity with a passion, my aggressive tone is turned against masculinity (I wonder how many shrinks will be able to get their heads round that, very few I suspect, such in the ingrained stupidity). I did not regard the killer sperm as something to sneak away from, I did exactly what it wanted and didn't want at the same time, I stamped on it like an insect.

There is more on that later. Mainly because I want people to understand that not everyone holds the two sex system up as some paragon of life, some of us actually regard the two sex system as a contemptible evolutionary mistake. the truth is simple, in biology sex dimorphism is incidental, the fact that primates and by default humans just happen to be markedly dimorphic does not mean that every single individual of a given species has to follow suit, this is the biological rather than social sense, those people who say that “Gender is a social construct while sex is immutable” are bare faced liars. Sex dimorphism is not absolute, and when it does not obey what people expect, it simply illustrates that sex dimorphism is not what it is claimed to be. If there are going to be endless human rights violations, (I know it is too politically correct to object to a bunch of rutting apes torturing someone because they do not fit some ideal. Was that politically correct? Really?) why not just be honest about it. Rutting apes are more interested in the fact that their fellow primates obey the rules of penis or vagina and are born accordingly.

And it seems that at present this is all controlled by the killer sperm, until the next bit of fashionable spin appears to suit men or women in their pointless arguing and mutual abuse.